16th International Conference of the East and Southeast Asia Federation of Soil Science Societies (ESAFS 2024)

March 26-29, 2024, Thai Nguyen University, Vietnam

ESAFS 2024 Theme: Healthy Soils for Sustainable Development

http://esafs2024.tnu.edu.vn

ABSTRACT REVIEWER GUIDELINES

- Thank you for accepting our invitation to serve as a Reviewer. Following are the guidelines and criteria to be taken into concern as you review an abstract. Your comments and recommendations will be used by the conference organizing committee and Conference Chair to make a final decision.

- Your comments/remarks and edits will be sent to the author anonymously, so they can appropriately amend their abstracts for publication. The key purpose of your review is to increase the technical quality of the submission and deliver a recommendation on whether the abstract would make an interesting conference presentation so that a high quality professional technical program for the international conference can be developed.

- We have provided the list of evaluation criteria to rank and space to mention your detailed comments at the end of the reviewing document. The reviewing document includes a list of evaluation criteria and space for you to enter comments to the authors. Your ranking and comments to the author are the only information visible to the author.

- Since this is a double-blind reviewing, the authors do not know the identity of the reviewers and the reviewers do not know the identity of the authors.

- A deadline will be provided in your emailed instructions and time for reviewing document. If you are unable to meet the deadline for any reason, advise the Conference Secretariat or decline the review immediately so another reviewer can be identified.

- Your reviewing should include detailed comments to the author describing any shortcomings of the abstract and mentioning changes that will address these shortcomings. If the reviewer finds those, it is better to mark up the copy of the abstract in order to illustrate to the author the comments and recommendations for improvement. The Conference Secretary will forward the reviewed copy to the author. Reviewers are encouraged to address all concerns as comments within the reviewing document.

Acceptable Sample – With elaborated comments.



Acceptable

Abstract Review Form

Acceptance					
Accept		Accept with revision	x	Reject	

no	Please rate the following (5 excellent, 1 poor)	5	4	3	2	1	NA
1	Aims/ objectives clearly stated		x				
2	Relevance to the conference	x					
3	Structure of the paper			x			
4	Clarity of language			x			
5	Appropriateness of the research/study methodology			?			
6	Discussion and conclusion			x			

Additional Comments

Specific Reviewers Comments to be passed on to the author/s. These comments will be useful when the authors write the paper to be included in the Conference Proceedings and journals.

Please expand on any weak areas in the checklist and offer specific advice as to how the author(s) may improve the paper.

- Title – good title
- Background – not provided
- Method – observation and interviews – would benefit from stating theoretical application or analytical approach e.g., lenses informed by a specific gender theorist, or so forth.
- Results – this is limited – include some results informed by your gender theory, or what your theoretical approach is most likely to find.
- Conclusions / Implications – state some implications for women, justice and equity
- Overall quality of abstract: Needs expanding.

Accept		Accept with revision	x	Reject	

no	Please rate the following (5 excellent, 1 poor)	5	4	3	2	1	NA
1	Aims/ objectives clearly stated		x				
2	Relevance to the conference	x					
3	Structure of the paper			x			
4	Clarity of language			x			
5	Appropriateness of the research/study methodology			?			
6	Discussion and conclusion			x			

Additional Comments

This is a very interesting piece of research and the authors are applauded for taking early intervention approach to addressing gender and sexuality differences, as well as focus on information systems to challenge the influence of social attitudes on the children's wellbeing early on. The abstract provides some definitional information and a brief problem statement. There are some strong assumptions, however, including that approaches will influence families, reduce incidence of children running off, and increase acceptance by schools. These could be nuanced. As well, the paper would benefit from some theoretical application in consideration that the project may contribute to change, in a very complex and heteronormative societal mindset. All said, well done – please take this feedback in support of strengthening and already terrific project.

Specific Reviewers Comments to be passed on to the author/s. These comments will be useful when the authors write the paper to be included in the Conference Proceedings and journals.

Please expand on any weak areas in the checklist and offer specific advice as to how the author(s) may improve the paper.

- Title – **good**
- Background – **good**
- Method – **not really clear; theoretical application could strengthen this**

Not Acceptable Sample – No comments on the paper.



Not Acceptable

Abstract Review Form

Acceptance (Put "X" on relevant cell)					
Accept	X	Accept with revision		Reject	

no	Please rate the following (5 excellent, 1 poor)	5	4	3	2	1	NA
1	Aims/ objectives clearly stated	x					
2	Relevance to the conference	x					
3	Structure of the paper	x					
4	Clarity of language	x					
5	Appropriateness of the research/study methodology	x					
6	Discussion and conclusion	x					

Additional Comments

Specific Reviewers Comments to be passed on to the author/s. These comments will be useful when the authors write the paper to be included in the Conference Proceedings and journals. Please expand on any weak areas in the checklist and offer specific advice as to how the author(s) may improve the paper.

- Title: Appropriate
- Background: Excellent
- Method: Excellent
- Results: Excellent
- Conclusions / Implications: Excellent
- Overall quality of abstract: Excellent

Abstract Review Form

Acceptance (Put "X" on relevant cell)					
Accept	X	Accept with revision		Reject	

no	Please rate the following (5 excellent, 1 poor)	5	4	3	2	1	NA
1	Aims/ objectives clearly stated	X					
2	Relevance to the conference	X					
3	Structure of the paper		X				
4	Clarity of language		X				
5	Appropriateness of the research/study methodology		X				
6	Discussion and conclusion		X				

Additional Comments

Specific Reviewers Comments to be passed on to the author/s. These comments will be useful when the authors write the paper to be included in the Conference Proceedings and journals. Please expand on any weak areas in the checklist and offer specific advice as to how the author(s) may improve the paper.

- Title: ok
- Background: ok
- Method: ok
- Results: ok
- Conclusions / Implications: ok
- Overall quality of abstract: Good enough